

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/798,064	03/11/2004	Susanne Arney	10-18-4 5680		
75	7590 12/05/2006		EXAMINER		
Michael J. Urbano 1445 Princeton Drive			PELLEGRINO, BRIAN E		
Bethlehem, PA 18017-9166			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738		

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No).	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/798,064		ARNEY ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Brian E. Pellegr	ino	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN Risions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cr SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the adapted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS C FR 1.136(a). In no event, hor on. period will apply and will expir statute, cause the application	OMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be ting e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>11 March 2004</u> .		•				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application	ation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction an	id/or election require	ment.					
Application Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the Exa							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
•								
Attach	nt(c)							
Attachme	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informat Patent Application 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-21, drawn to a therapeutic stent, classified in class 623, subclass
 1.42.
- II. Claims 22-25, drawn to a method of making a stent, classified in class219, subclass 607.
- III. Claims 26-28, drawn to a ratcheting stent, classified in class 623, subclass 1.3.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I,III and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the products of Groups I and III can be made by a materially different process that does not include the step of heating the substrate to cause the material to form a tubular member but uses another type of energy other than thermal energy to shape the material such as electrical, kinetic or chemical.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2)

Application/Control Number: 10/798,064

Art Unit: 3738

that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the elongate slot that is coextensive with the length of the tubular body stent is required in the stent of Group III, the subcombination, but not the combination. The subcombination has separate utility such as an embolism device placed in an aneurysm with other expanding stents.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,064

Art Unit: 3738

A telephone call was made to Michael Urbano on 11/30/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/798,064 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E. Pellegrino whose telephone number is 571-272-4756. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:30am-4pm) and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TC 3700, AU 3738

BRIAN E. PELLEGRINO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Burn Elellermo